CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Hilliard Hinchey Neal Schroeder Oberstan Skaggs Jacobs Ortiz Stark Jefferson Owens Pallone Taylor (MS) Kanjorski Vento Visclosky LaFalce Pastor Payne (NJ) Pelosi Lantos Lewis (GA) Volkmer Watt (NC) Pickett Wolf Lowey Manton Pombo Wyden McKinney Pomerov Yates Menendez Richardson Mineta Sabo

ANSWERED "PRESENT"-1

Stockman

NOT VOTING-28

Abercrombie	Gonzalez	Seastrand
Baker (CA)	Klug	Thompson
Blute	Largent	Tucker
Boehner	Livingston	Velazquez
Chapman	McNulty	Weldon (PA)
Collins (MI)	Meek	Wise
de la Garza	Mfume	Young (AK)
Ehlers	Morella	Zimmer
Fattah	Murtha	
Frost	Riggs	

□ 1019

Mr. ALLARD, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, and Ms. HARMAN changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the Journal was approved.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. EWING). Will the gentleman from Vermont [Mr. SANDERS] come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. SANDERS led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 607

Mr. QUINN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor of the bill, H.R. 607

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain 10 requests for 1-minutes per side. Further 1-minutes will take place after regular business today.

REPUBLICAN CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

(Mr. SOLOMON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, our Contract With America states the following:

On the first day of Congress, a Republican House will require Congress to

live under the same laws as everyone else; cut committee staffs by one-third; and cut the congressional budget.

We kept our promise.

It continues that in the first 100 days, we will vote on the following items: A balanced budget amendment—we kept our promise; unfunded mandates legislation—we kept our promise; line-item veto—we kept our promise; a new crime package to stop violent criminals-we kept our promise; national security restoration to protect our freedoms-we kept our promise; Government regulatory reform—we are doing this now; welfare reform to encourage work, not dependence; family reinforcement to crack down on deadbeat dads and protect our children; tax cuts for middle-income families; Senior Citizens' Equity Act to allow our seniors to work without government penalty; commonsense legal reform to end frivolous lawsuits; and congressional term limits to make Congress a citizen legislature.

This is our Contract With America.

THE SPEAKER'S COLLEGE CLASS, SUBSIDIZED BY AMERICAN TAX-PAYERS, SAID TO BE EX-TREMELY PARTISAN

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, just how nonpartisan is NEWT GINGRICH'S college class?

The Speaker claims it is nonpartisan. He sold it to the Ethics Committee as a nonpartisan class.

The sole reason that donors get tax exemptions is because it is supposedly nonpartisan.

Yet, in the past 2 days, we have received new evidence that this class was as partisan as partisan gets.

The dean of the college who once helped teach the class now says that political and academic resources were commingled.

A Ph.D. student who helped set up the class said on Sunday: "the class was intended to be partisan and very political."

Mr. Speaker, this class, which promotes an intensely partisan, political agenda of the Speaker, is being subsidized by the American taxpayers.

And its fund were commingled with the Speaker's own political action committee, GOPAC.

It is time for the Speaker to come clean with the American people.

It is time for him to release the list of past GOPAC donors.

And it is time that we appoint a professional, nonpartisan, outside counsel to investigate this whole mess.

PRESIDENT CLINTON USING SCARE TACTICS

(Mr. TIAHRT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, I do not think the President really understands what happened last November. I think he is trapped in a 1960's time warp. He seems hopelessly married to big-government ideas of the past, and he is using scare tactics to save big-government's agenda.

Yesterday Mr. Clinton was accusing Republicans of wanting to kill the school lunch program. This is absolutely not true. Mr. Clinton's problem is that he thinks he knows more about the needs of students than the 50 State Governors. He thinks that the bureaucrats here in Washington can do a better job of setting standards than the local school districts. I say to my colleagues, "Let me tell you I trust Governor Bill Graves and the local school districts of Kansas a lot more than I do any Beltway bureaucracy."

Mr. Speaker, if the President wants to continue to engage in this type of blatant political propaganda and demean his office in the process, he is free to do so.

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker—

Mr. TIAHRT. But while he is busy resurrecting the sixties, we will be working hard for the people by getting America ready for the next century.

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, I demand that the gentleman's words be taken down.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The words will be taken down.

The Clerk will report the words.

□ 1030

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my demand that the words be taken down.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. EWING). The gentleman from Missouri withdraws his demand that the words be taken down. The time of the gentleman from Kansas has expired.

THE TRUTH ABOUT SCHOOL LUNCHES

(Mr. LINDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, it amazes me the length Democrats will go to try to save Federal bureaucrats their jobs. Yesterday, the Democrat leadership and President Clinton launched a mean-spirited attack on Republican attempts to provide school lunches through block grants. But once again, just like a broken record, they did not tell the whole story.

The Republican approach will actually increase spending for school lunches by decreasing administrative costs, which translates into cutting the Federal bureaucrats. But Democrats shy away from anything new because they just cannot seem to get over their love affair with a bigger bureaucracy and a failed welfare system. However, sinking to scaring needy children—